	Application No.	Applicant(s)
. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/658,880	BENEDETTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin Mew	2664
All Participants:	Status of Application: First action non-final	
(1) <u>Kevin Mew</u> .	(3) <u>Sidney Johnston</u> .	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 March 2004	Time: <u>10:20 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Subcombination usable together		
Claims discussed: 1-10: Group I: 1-3, 5-10 claims spanning tree protocol root guard for boundary ports Group II: 4 as data memory structure for storing switch port status entries		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
·		
MAM		
(Exantiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	anature – if appropriate)